UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,035	10/20/2005	Leonardus Cornelis Antonius Van Stuivenberg	NL 030484	2846
	7590 12/24/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		DANIELS, ANTHONY J		
DKIAKCLIFF	MANOK, NT 10310	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2622		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		12/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No. Applicant(s)		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/554,035		VAN STUIVENBERG ET AL.			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
			ANTHONY		2622			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ears on the d	over sheet with the o	correspondence ac	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSING STATE OF	MAILING DA's of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, co	TE OF THIS 6(a). In no event Il apply and will ecause the applica	S COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be tinexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>20 Oc</i>	tober 2005					
'=								
3)	Since this application is in condition	<i>′</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	is/are allowed.							
	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election req	uirement.				
	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	ne Evaminer						
-				ted or b) objected	I to by the Evamin	ner		
10/23	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Internation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	_	Paper No(s)/Mail Day Notice of Informal F Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/554,035 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (1) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

1. Please include Section headings in the disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,035 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-4,7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tay (US 2003/0085989) in view of the Nokia 6610 User Guide (see attached NPL).

As to claim 1, Tay teaches a device (100) for multimedia communication (Figure 1, mobile communication device "100"), comprising: capture means (121) for capturing a sample (133) of a multimedia content (136) ([0014], Lines 6-8, "...digital imaging unit..."); and control means (110) (Figure 1, snap button "80") for controlling the capture means (121), such that the sample (133) is captured and added to the transmitted to pre-designated recipient in response to a single activation (130) of the control means (110) ([0017], Lines 4-6). The claim differs from Tay in that it further requires processing means (122) for processing a message (134) and that the sample is added to the message in response to the single activation.

Art Unit: 2622

In the same field of endeavor, the Nokia 6610 User Guide discloses a cellular telephone wherein a captured image can be inserted in to a message and sent to a designated recipient (p.60). In light of the teaching of the Nokia 6610 User Guide, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the ability to send the image of Tay via a text message, because an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that this would allow for an simple, effective medium through which the image can be transferred.

Remarks about the rejection of claim 1: Although the Nokia 6610 User Guide does not explicitly state that the image is inserted into the message in response to a single activation of a control means, the examiner submits that the teachings of the Nokia 6610 User Guide taken in the context of the Tay teachings meets this limitation. More specifically, Tay is rather vague in regard to the events that occur from image capture to image transmission when, in reality, a multitude of events occur. Given that sending images via text messages is a well known concept and occurs between capture and transmission, the examiner submits that Tay's endeavor of simple initiation of capture and transmission would not be hindered if the image were to be sent via a text message.

As to claim 2, Tay, as modified by the Nokia 6610 User Guide, teaches a device (100) as claimed in claim 1, wherein the device (100) further comprises dispatching means (123) for dispatching the message (134) with the sample (133) added in response to the single activation (130) (see Tay, [0017], Lines 4-6).

Art Unit: 2622

As to claim 3, Tay, as modified by the Nokia 6610 User Guide, teaches a device (100) as claimed in claim 1, wherein the capture means (121) comprise a camera (Figure 1, digital imaging unit "), and the sample (133) comprises a photo ([0014], Line 7, "...still image...").

As to claim 4, Tay, as modified by the Nokia 6610 User Guide, teaches a device (100) as claimed in claim 1, wherein the device (100) comprises a mobile phone (see Tay, Figure 1).

As to claims 7 and 8, claims 7 and 8 are method claims corresponding to the apparatus claims 1 and 2, respectively. Therefore, claims 7 and 8 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 1 and 2, respectively.

2. Claims 5,6,9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tay (US 2003/0085989) in view of the Nokia 6610 User Guide (see attached NPL) and further in view of the operation of AOL Instant Messenger (see attached NPL).

As to claims **5** and **6**, Tay, as modified by the Nokia 6610 User Guide, teaches a device (100) as claimed in claim 2. The claim differs from Tay, as modified by the Nokia User Guide, in that it further requires that the message (134) comprise a command for controlling a communication session, and an invitation for a communication session.

Being reasonably pertinent to the problem which Applicant is concerned, AOL instant messenger allows a user to send an invitation for a communication session. The recipient of the invitation can accept or reject the invitation, and if the invitation is accepted, the communication session begins (see attached NPL). In light of the teaching of AOL instant messenger, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include this feature of communication in

the system of Tay, because an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that this would allow a recipient to reject suspicious invitations and accept those that are known to be safe.

As to claims **9** and **10**, Tay, as modified by the Nokia 6610 User Guide, teaches a method as claimed in claim 8. The claim differs from Tay, as modified by the Nokia 6610 User Guide, in that it further requires that the message (134) comprise a command for controlling a communication session and an invitation for a communication session, and that the method comprise the step of controlling the communication session in dependence upon the control command and the step of establishing the communication session in response to accepting the invitation.

Being reasonably pertinent to the problem which Applicant is concerned, AOL instant messenger allows a user to send an invitation for a communication session. The recipient of the invitation can accept or reject the invitation, and if the invitation is accepted, the communication session begins (see attached NPL). In light of the teaching of AOL instant messenger, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include this feature of communication in the system of Tay, because an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that this would allow a recipient to reject suspicious invitations and accept those that are known to be safe.

Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The examiner cites an review article from Mobiledia supporting a priority date for the Nokia 6610.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,035 Page 7

Art Unit: 2622

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANTHONY J. DANIELS whose telephone number is (571)272-7362. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (571) 272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AD 12/19/2008

/Sinh N Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622